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Abstract

The study is carried out to standardize larval indices namely House Index, Container Index and Breteau Index and also to identify the major breeding sources of mosquitoes in the residential environment in and around the Gwale Local government area of Kano, Nigeria. A cross-sectional study by selecting 50 houses randomly from the 6 wards of Municipality was employed. Every water holding container indoors and outdoors were counted and searched for larval presence and noted on a pretested format. In this study, 300 houses were surveyed in 21 days out of which 94.33% (283 houses) were found to have potential sources for mosquito breeding. All the entomological indices were found to be above the critical level. House Index = 28.67%; Container Index = 12.14%; Breteau Index = 64.00% showing high chances for outbreaks of mosquito borne diseases. The area is prone to mosquito borne disease like malaria and therefore warrants interventions from the competent.
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Introduction

Nigeria is the 14th largest country in Africa. It has 923,768 square kilometres of total land area. Nigeria is 7th largest country in the world in terms of population and largest in Africa. Nigeria lies in sub-Saharan Africa. The population of Nigeria is approximately 177.1 million. Nigeria is lower middle income country (WHO, 2015). Malaria is one of the most important public health problems in the world. According to Malaria Report 2011 of World Health Organization approximately 106 countries are at risk of transmission of malaria in the world. In 2010 a total of 216 million malaria cases occurred, in which 81% were reported in African Region, 13% in South East Asia, 5% in Eastern Mediterranean Region (World Malaria Report, 2011).

Most Nigerian cities are epiteme of urban decay and characterized by poor housing, sanitation and public health infrastructure (Akinbiyi, 1992). Their erratic growth of housing units coupled with rapid population explosion has resulted in environmental health hazards (Adefemi and Awokunmi, 2009). Wastes are generated from human activities and in most cases not properly managed in most Nigerian cities (Aurangabadkar et al., 2001). This leads to low environmental quality which accounts for 25% of all preventable ill health in the world (WHO, 2002). In most cases, wastes are collected and disposed off in uncontrolled dumpsite sited near residential buildings. These wastes are heaped up and/or burnt, polluting water resources and air (Akpan, 2004, Uffia et al., 2013).

Poor waste management systems constitute the major causes of diseases in Nigeria, of which malaria ranks the highest (Africa Development Bank, 2002). Other waste related diseases include typhoid fever and cholera. The purpose of our study was to investigate a possible correlation between waste collection methods in use and the incidences of malaria. Does the solid waste collection system used in an urban area correlate with the incidence of the malaria disease? The results will serve as a guide for the development of strategies to enhance the collection of waste from urban environments. The results will enable political leaders to appreciate the severity of the problem and to prioritise solid waste management in urban areas. Also, the results will serve as a basis for a public awareness campaign oriented at promoting healthy solid waste management strategies.

Material and methods

Study Area

The study was conducted in 6 ward from Gwale Local Government area of Kano state 11°59'39.4"N, 8°29'55.3"E (Latitude: 11.994277; Longitude: 8.498687).

Study Design

This study was conducted as a Cross Sectional survey Sampling Technique: Houses were selected randomly from each of the six wards taking each ward as a cluster.

Sample Size

A total of 300 houses, taking randomly 50 houses from each ward.

Study Period

The study was conducted in 3 weeks during July 3th to 24th, 2019.

Method

50 houses were randomly selected from each ward (6 wards). After getting the consent from the head of the house, the premises of the house are meticulously searched for all possible water collections and containers both indoors and outdoors. Details regarding potential mosquito breeding sites and those positive for larval presence are collected and
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entered on a pretested performa. Care was taken to search both indoors and per domestic area for manmade breeding habitats like, cement tanks, metal containers, plastic drums, plastic containers, metal drums, grinding stones, mud pots, bottles, discarded containers, flowerpots, tires, polythene sheets, and also the natural breeding sites like coconut shells, tree-holes, plant axils. All containers containing any volume of water were considered as potential breeding sites. Every accessible water-holding container in and around the house was meticulously searched for the presence of immature mosquitoes. Every water-holding container was categorized according to the type of container or breeding site mentioned in the format. Larval presence identified by the wriggling movement was also noted. Houses with one or more positive containers were noted (Jesha et al., 2015).

Data analysis

Descriptive analysis was done manually to calculate mosquito larval indices and the proportion of different types of containers.

Results

In this study a total 300 houses were surveyed from the 6 wards from Gvale Local Government Area. There were potential breeding sites (with or without larvae) in 296 houses (98.6%). Positive containers (with larvae) were present in 86 of these 300 houses showing a calculated house Index of 28.67% (95% confidence interval = 18.57 – 31.73). Out of 1581 artificial water collections with potential for anopheles breeding, larvae were identified in 192 leading to a calculated Container Index of 12.14% (95% C.I = 4.95 – 15.76) and Breteau Index of 64.00% (95% C.I = 68.4 – 81.59). All the entomological indices were found to be above the critical level for occurrence of outbreak of mosquito borne diseases. This is depicted in Table 1.

The distribution of artificial water collections (sites or containers) with potential for breeding found on inspection of the houses and its premises and the proportion positive for larval breeding are shown in Table 2. The distribution of houses with containers positive for larvae was not similar, with much greater mosquito densities in some households than others. The main potential containers with chances for mosquito breeding were tins (642), followed by tins (341) and flowerpots (289). The main source where actual breeding was detected in the peri-domestic area was discarded tins (47.92%) followed by pots (23.44%) and discarded tyres (22.40%).

Discussion

The results from the larval indices indicated that mosquito population in gwaie local government was sufficient to promote the outbreak of Malaria. Appawu et al., 2006 also reported similar case from Ghana. In the survey, Breteau Index (BI) was found to be 64.00% is above the critical level of 5% and 50%[12]. The House index (HI) in gwaie was 28.67% which is above the critical level of 5% (Sheppard et al., 1969) and below 50% while The Container Index (CI) to be 12.14% which is above the critical level of 5% and below 50% (State Health Dept, Kerala, 2011). Comparison of the indices in our study was found to be in accordance with the finding of Sheppard et al., 1969 and Kaushal et al., 2014. Both House Index and Breteau Index which are considered better predictors than container index are well above acceptable limits. The House Index of 26.67% is far above the safe limit 10% and container index 12.14% is at par with the cut off 10%. The BI is well above 50% and hence the area studied is at very high risk for epidemics (State Health Dept, Kerala, 2011).

Conclusion

The study shows high larval indices well above the critical points. Thus it is evident that these areas are at very high risk for an outbreak of vector borne diseases unless serious action is taken with community participation. The study also concludes that the mosquito control activities are not fully effective in the area.

Recommendations

Further entomological studies to identify the type of mosquitoes are recommended. Based on this study Health Education and Community action Plans with the help of Municipal Health authority are recommended to reduce mosquito density thereby prevent disease outbreaks. Screening of vectors for the presence of malarial parasite will be an added advantage for successful control.
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Table 1: Calculation of mosquito larval indices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey result</th>
<th>Larvicide indices (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of house surveyed</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of house positive for larve</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of potential containers</td>
<td>1581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of containers positive for larvae</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>House index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Distribution of different collections with potential for culex / anopheles breeding and proportion of containers with larvae

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of artificial water collections</th>
<th>Potential Water collections</th>
<th>Positive for larvae</th>
<th>Percentage of total +ve containers n=192</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tins</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>47.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowerpots</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tires</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>22.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrace</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pots</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>23.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree holes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1581</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


